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#### Abstract

In this paper we prove two theorems on absolute weighted mean summability of orthogonal series. These theorems generalize results of the paper [4].
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ be a given infinite series with its partial sums $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$, and let $A=$ $\left(a_{n v}\right)$ be a normal matrix, that is, lower-semi matrix with nonzero entries. By $\left(A_{n}(s)\right)$ we denote the $A$-transform of the sequence $s=\left\{s_{n}\right\}$, i.e.,

$$
A_{n}(s)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{n v} s_{v}
$$

The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is said to be summable $|A|_{k}, k \geq 1$, [5] if

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{n n}\right|^{1-k}\left|A_{n}(s)-A_{n-1}(s)\right|^{k}<\infty
$$

In the special case when $A$ is a generalized Nörlund matrix (resp. $k=1$ ), $|A|_{k}$ summability is the same as $|N, p, q|_{k}$ (resp. $|N, p, q|$ ) summability [6] (see [3]). By a generalized Nörlund matrix we mean one such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{n v}=\frac{p_{n-v} q_{v}}{R_{n}} & \text { for } \quad 0 \leq v \leq n \\
a_{n v}=0 & \text { for } v>n
\end{array}
$$

where for two given sequences of positive real constants $p=\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ and $q=\left\{q_{n}\right\}$, the convolution $R_{n}:=(p * q)_{n}$ is defined by

$$
(p * q)_{n}=\sum_{v=0}^{n} p_{v} q_{n-v}=\sum_{v=0}^{n} p_{n-v} q_{v}
$$

When $(p * q)_{n} \neq 0$ for all $n$, the generalized Nörlund transform of the sequence $\left\{s_{n}\right\}$ is the sequence $\left\{t_{n}^{p, q}(s)\right\}$ defined by

$$
t_{n}^{p, q}(s)=\frac{1}{R_{n}} \sum_{m=0}^{n} p_{n-m} q_{m} s_{m}
$$

and $|A|_{k}$ summability reduces to the following definition:
The infinite series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}$ is absolutely summable $(N, p, q)_{k}, k \geq 1$, if the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{R_{n}}{q_{n}}\right)^{k-1}\left|t_{n}^{p, q}(s)-t_{n-1}^{p, q}(s)\right|^{k}
$$

converges (see [6]), and we write in brief

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \in|N, p, q|_{k}
$$

Let $\left\{\varphi_{n}(x)\right\}$ be an orthonormal system defined in the interval $(a, b)$. We assume that $f(x)$ belongs to $L^{2}(a, b)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} \varphi_{n}(x) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{n}=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \varphi_{n}(x) d x,(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$.
We write

$$
R_{n}^{j}:=\sum_{v=j}^{n} p_{n-v} q_{v}, R_{n}^{n+1}=0, R_{n}^{0}=R_{n}
$$

and

$$
P_{n}:=(p * 1)_{n}=\sum_{v=0}^{n} p_{v} \quad \text { and } \quad Q_{n}:=(1 * q)_{n}=\sum_{v=0}^{n} q_{v} .
$$

Regarding to $|N, p, q| \equiv|N, p, q|_{1}$ summability of the orthogonal series (1.1) the following two theorems are proved.

Theorem .1.1. [4] If the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{R_{n}^{j}}{R_{n}}-\frac{R_{n-1}^{j}}{R_{n-1}}\right)^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

converges, then the orthogonal series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)
$$

is summable $|N, p, q|$ almost everywhere.
Theorem 1.2. [4] Let $\{\Omega(n)\}$ be a positive sequence such that $\{\Omega(n) / n\}$ is a non-increasing sequence and the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \Omega(n)}$ converges. Let $\left\{p_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{q_{n}\right\}$ be non-negative. If the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2} \Omega(n) w^{(1)}(n)$ converges, then the orthogonal series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} \varphi_{n}(x) \in|N, p, q|$ almost everywhere, where $w^{(1)}(n)$ is defined by $w^{(1)}(j):=j^{-1} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n^{2}\left(\frac{R_{n}^{j}}{R_{n}}-\frac{R_{n-1}^{j}}{R_{n-1}}\right)^{2}$.

The main purpose of this paper is studying of the $|A|_{k}$ summability of the orthogonal series (1.1), for $1 \leq k \leq 2$, and to deduce as corollaries all results of Y. Okuyama [4]. Before doing this first introduce some further notations.

Given a normal matrix $A:=\left(a_{n v}\right)$, we associate two lower semi matrices $\bar{A}:=$ $\left(\bar{a}_{n v}\right)$ and $\hat{A}:=\left(\hat{a}_{n v}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\bar{a}_{n v}:=\sum_{i=v}^{n} a_{n i}, n, i=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

and

$$
\hat{a}_{00}=\bar{a}_{00}=a_{00}, \hat{a}_{n v}=\bar{a}_{n v}-\bar{a}_{n-1, v}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

It may be noted that $\bar{A}$ and $\hat{A}$ are the well-known matrices of series-to-sequence and series-to-series transformations, respectively.
The following lemma due to Beppo Levi (see, for example [7]) is often used in the theory of functions. It will need us to prove main results.

Lemma 1.1. If $f_{n}(t) \in L(E)$ are non-negative functions and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E} f_{n}(t) d t<\infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(t) d t
$$

converges almost everywhere on $E$ to a function $f(t) \in L(E)$. Moreover, the series (1.2) is also convergent to $f$ in the norm of $L(E)$.

Throughout this paper $K$ denotes a positive constant that it may depends only on $k$, and be different in different relations.

## 2. Main Results

We prove the following theorem.
Theorem 2.1. If for $1 \leq k \leq 2$ the series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\left|a_{n n}\right|^{\frac{2}{k}-2} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{k}{2}}
$$

converges, then the orthogonal series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)
$$

is summable $|A|_{k}$ almost everywhere.
Proof. For the matrix transform $A_{n}(s)(x)$ of the partial sums of the orthogonal series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{n}(s)(x) & =\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{n v} s_{v}(x)=\sum_{v=0}^{n} a_{n v} \sum_{j=0}^{v} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) \sum_{v=j}^{n} a_{n v}=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \bar{a}_{n j} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sum_{j=0}^{v} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)$ is the partial sum of order $v$ of the series (1.1). Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Delta} A_{n}(s)(x) & =\sum_{j=0}^{n} \bar{a}_{n j} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{n-1, j} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) \\
& =\bar{a}_{n n} c_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(\bar{a}_{n, j}-\bar{a}_{n-1, j}\right) c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) \\
& =\hat{a}_{n n} c_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n, j} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} \hat{a}_{n, j} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Hölder's inequality and orthogonality to the latter equality, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a}^{b}\left|\bar{\Delta} A_{n}(s)(x)\right|^{k} d x & \leq(b-a)^{1-\frac{k}{2}}\left(\int_{a}^{b}\left|A_{n}(s)(x)-A_{n-1}(s)(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \\
& =(b-a)^{1-\frac{k}{2}}\left(\int_{a}^{b}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{n} \hat{a}_{n, j} c_{j} \varphi_{j}(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=(b-a)^{1-\frac{k}{2}}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{k}{2}} .
$$

Thus, the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n n}\right|^{1-k} \int_{a}^{b}\left|\bar{\Delta} A_{n}(s)(x)\right|^{k} d x \leq K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\left|a_{n n}\right|^{\frac{2}{k}-2} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{k}{2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges by the assumption. From this fact and since the functions $\left|\bar{\Delta} A_{n}(s)(x)\right|$ are non-negative, then by the Lemma 1.1 the series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n n}\right|^{1-k}\left|\bar{\Delta} A_{n}(s)(x)\right|^{k}
$$

converges almost everywhere. This completes the proof of the theorem.
If we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{(k)}(A ; j):=\frac{1}{j^{\frac{2}{k}-1}} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n^{\frac{2}{k}}\left|n a_{n n}\right|^{\frac{2}{k}-2}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the following theorem holds true.
Theorem 2.2. Let $1 \leq k \leq 2$ and $\{\Omega(n)\}$ be a positive sequence such that $\{\Omega(n) / n\}$ is a non-increasing sequence and the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \Omega(n)}$ converges. If the following series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2} \Omega^{\frac{2}{k}-1}(n) H^{(k)}(A ; n)$ converges, then the orthogonal series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} \varphi_{n}(x) \in|A|_{k}$ almost everywhere, where $H^{(k)}(A ; j)$ is defined by (2.2).

Proof. Applying Hölder's inequality to the inequality (2.1) we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n n}\right|^{1-k} \int_{a}^{b}\left|\bar{\Delta} A_{n}(s)(x)\right|^{k} d x \leq \\
& \quad \leq K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n n}\right|^{1-k}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{k}{2}} \\
& \quad=K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n \Omega(n))^{\frac{2-k}{2}}}\left[\left|a_{n n}\right|^{\frac{2}{k}-2}(n \Omega(n))^{\frac{2}{k}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{k}{2}} \\
& \quad \leq K\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n \Omega(n))}\right)^{\frac{2-k}{2}}\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n n}\right|^{\frac{2}{k}-2}(n \Omega(n))^{\frac{2}{k}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right]^{\frac{k}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq K\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty}\left|a_{n n}\right|^{\frac{2}{k}-2}(n \Omega(n))^{\frac{2}{k}-1}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{k}{2}} \\
& \leq K\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\left(\frac{\Omega(j)}{j}\right)^{\frac{2}{k}-1} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n^{\frac{2}{k}}\left|n a_{n n}\right|^{\frac{2}{k}-2}\left|\hat{a}_{n, j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{k}{2}} \\
& =K\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2} \Omega^{\frac{2}{k}-1}(j) \mathcal{H}^{(k)}(A ; j)\right\}^{\frac{k}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is finite by virtue of the hypothesis of the theorem, and this completes the proof of the theorem.

For $a_{n, v}=\frac{p_{n-v} q_{v}}{R_{n}}$ we have $a_{n, n}=\frac{p_{0} q_{n}}{R_{n}}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{a}_{n, v} & =\bar{a}_{n, v}-\bar{a}_{n-1, v} \\
& =\sum_{j=v}^{n} a_{n j}-\sum_{j=v}^{n-1} a_{n-1, j} \\
& =\frac{1}{R_{n}} \sum_{j=v}^{n} p_{n-j} q_{j}-\frac{1}{R_{n-1}} \sum_{j=v}^{n-1} p_{n-1-j} q_{j} \\
& =\frac{R_{n}^{j}}{R_{n}}-\frac{R_{n-1}^{j}}{R_{n-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore the following corollaries follow from the main results:
Corollary 2.1. If for $1 \leq k \leq 2$ the series

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\left(\frac{R_{n}}{q_{n}}\right)^{2-\frac{2}{k}} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(\frac{R_{n}^{j}}{R_{n}}-\frac{R_{n-1}^{j}}{R_{n-1}}\right)^{2}\left|c_{j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{k}{2}}
$$

converges, then the orthogonal series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)
$$

is summable $|N, p, q|_{k}$ almost everywhere.
Corollary 2.2. Let $1 \leq k \leq 2$ and $\{\Omega(n)\}$ be a positive sequence such that $\{\Omega(n) / n\}$ is a non-increasing sequence and the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \Omega(n)}$ converges. If the following series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{n}\right|^{2} \Omega^{\frac{2}{k}-1}(n) \mathcal{N}^{(k)}(n)$ converges, then the orthogonal
series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n} \varphi_{n}(x) \in|N, p, q|_{k}$ almost everywhere, where $\mathcal{N}^{(k)}(j)$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{N}^{(k)}(j):=\frac{1}{j^{\frac{2}{k}-1}} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} n^{\frac{4}{k}-2}\left(\frac{R_{n}}{q_{n}}\right)^{2-\frac{2}{k}}\left(\frac{R_{n}^{j}}{R_{n}}-\frac{R_{n-1}^{j}}{R_{n-1}}\right)^{2} .
$$

Remark 2.1. We note that for $k=1$ corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 reduce in theorems 1.1 and 1.2 respectively.

Let us prove now another two corollaries that follow from the corollary 2.1.
Corollary 2.3. If for $1 \leq k \leq 2$ the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{p_{n}}{P_{n}^{1 / k} P_{n-1}}\right)^{k}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_{n-j}^{2}\left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}-\frac{P_{n-j}}{p_{n-j}}\right)^{2}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{k}{2}}
$$

converges, then the orthogonal series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)
$$

is summable $|N, p|_{k}$ almost everywhere.
Proof. After some elementary calculations one can show that

$$
\frac{R_{n}^{j}}{R_{n}}-\frac{R_{n-1}^{j}}{R_{n-1}}=\frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}}\left(\frac{P_{n}}{p_{n}}-\frac{P_{n-j}}{p_{n-j}}\right) p_{n-j}
$$

for all $q_{n}=1$, and the proof follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.
Corollary 2.4. If for $1 \leq k \leq 2$ the series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{q_{n}^{1 / k}}{Q_{n}^{1 / k} Q_{n-1}}\right)^{k}\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} Q_{j-1}^{2}\left|a_{j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{\frac{k}{2}}
$$

converges, then the orthogonal series

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \varphi_{n}(x)
$$

is summable $|\bar{N}, q|_{k}$ almost everywhere.
Proof. From the fact that

$$
\frac{R_{n}^{j}}{R_{n}}-\frac{R_{n-1}^{j}}{R_{n-1}}=-\frac{q_{n} Q_{j-1}}{Q_{n} Q_{n-1}}
$$

for all $p_{n}=1$, the proof follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.
Remark 2.2. For $k=1$ corollaries 2.3 and 2.4 are proved earlier in [1] and [2].
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